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The ideal strength of two-dimensional stanene may reach or exceed the Griffith strength estimate†
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Ideal strength is the maximum stress a material can withstand, and it is an important intrinsic property for structural applications. A Griffith strength limit of \( \sim E/9 \) is the best known upper bound of this property for a material loaded in tension. Here we report that stanene, a recently fabricated two-dimensional material, could approach and possibly exceed this limit from a theoretical perspective. Utilizing first-principles density functional theory, we investigated the nonlinear elastic behavior of stanene and found that its strength could reach \( \sim E/7.4 \) under uniaxial tension in both armchair and zigzag directions without incurring phonon instability or mechanical failure. The unique mechanical properties of stanene are appreciated by comparison with other Group-IV 2D materials.

1. Introduction

The structural application of any nanostructured material hinges on a better understanding of its strength and mechanical behavior.1,2 Ideal (theoretical) strength, \( \sigma_{\text{ideal}} \), is the maximum stress achievable in a perfect crystal at zero Kelvin.3–5 Knowledge of this value is important to our understanding of many problems in the solid state, as it essentially corresponds to the failure of a crystal loaded in a defined mode and is crucial to characterize the material's failure, which is usually controlled by the nucleation and motion of defects. In 1921, Griffith6 first experimentally extrapolated a theoretical strength of \( \sim E/9 \) applicable to solids, where \( E \) is the elastic modulus of the material under uniaxial tension. Later, Polanyi,7 Orowan,8 and other scientists,9,10 by relating the ideal strength to macroscopic physical properties, set a similar upper limit of \( \sigma_{\text{ideal}} \approx E/10 \).

Most three-dimensional engineering materials, nonetheless, have an observable (realistic) strength that is many orders of magnitude smaller than their theoretical strength estimated by the above relationships, as a significant amount of flaws and defect structures undermine their usable strength. This problem can be greatly resolved if the material is made extremely thin or flat at the nanoscale, with the underlying concept that the probability of a critical flaw will reduce as the size of the material decreases. The 'idea of thinness' hastened the utilisation of nanowires,11–13 nanopillars,14,15 nanotubes,16 and even nanoropes17 to achieve ultra-high strength, whereas the 'idea of flatness' placed 2D materials on the stage. Extensive studies have been devoted to a broad category of 2D materials and satisfying mechanical properties have been obtained. For instance, experimental measurement by atomic force microscopy has demonstrated a strength range of \( E/10 \) to \( E/15 \) for monolayer MoS2.18 Previous density functional theory (DFT) simulations echo the experimental results for MoS2,19 and predict a strength level of \( \sim E/13 \) (ref. 20) for borophene and \( \sim E/11 \) (ref. 21 and 22) for hexagonal boron nitride and g-GeC. As the strongest material known so far, the study of graphene is never disappointing. It has been shown that graphene, devoid of any defect, could reach a strength of \( E/11 \) (ref. 23 and 24) to \( E/9 \) (ref. 1) during uniaxial tension. Also, the same Group-IV 2D material, silicene, has a strength up to \( \sim E/10 \).25 These 2D materials all seem to reach close to the Griffith limit.6 The question thus arises is whether a material could possibly surpass these seemingly upper limits on theoretical tensile strength.

The hunt for such a candidate has reached the lower part of the Group-IV elements. An \textit{ab initio} study of the ideal strength of bulk diamond, silicon, and germanium suggests that the decrease of stiffness and strength below the Group-IV is simultaneous but not proportional.26 It has been shown that the Young's modulus drops faster than the strength of the material, which results in a reduction of the \( E/\sigma_{\text{ideal}} \) ratio from diamond to germanium.26 Starting from this insight, it is very natural to expect the next Group-IV 2D material stanene to get closer to the \( E/9 \)–\( E/10 \) strength limit imposed by Griffith and others on macroscopic solids.

In the 2D materials family, stanene has drawn particular interest due to its exceptional performance and properties.
such as topological superconductivity, quantum thermal transport, quantum anomalous Hall effect, and catalytic activity. Recently, successful fabrications of stanene both on substrates and as a free-standing structure have been achieved. However, evidence of stanene sustaining significant mechanical deformation remains elusive. In practical applications, a device made of 2D materials must be able to maintain its mechanical integrity during every stage of its production and functional life. Being the strongest material ever produced, graphene seldom ‘worries’ about its candidacy for applications that require high strength or stiffness. But for an allotrope of tin, softer than carbon in its bulk size, to replace graphene in certain applications, its mechanical robustness has to be assured first. After all, all of those novel properties of stanene cannot be utilized in practice should it fail too easily.

Therefore, investigating the mechanical properties of stanene is important both from a fundamental perspective in understanding its deformational behavior and from practical interest for its real-world applications. In this paper, we study the mechanical response of stanene at considerable strains and adopt a rigorous continuum formulation to determine the nonlinear elastic constants up to the fifth order under uniaxial and biaxial tension. For each deformation case, we determine the ultimate tensile strength (UTS), which represents the highest point on the stress–strain curve and after which the material is considered to have mechanically failed. Since 2D materials could fail by phonon instability before mechanical failure, the integrity of stanene is also verified by looking at any imaginary phonon frequencies at various strain levels and loading directions. We also compare the properties of stanene with its lighter Group-IV cousins, graphene and silicene, and reveal the possible breakdown of the Griffith theoretical strength limit of stanene. Lastly, charge density and computed scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) images are analyzed to capture the salient features of the deformation and fracture process of stanene.

2. Modeling and theory

The stress–strain response of 2D stanene was investigated using first principles based density functional theory (DFT) calculations as implemented in the Quantum ESPRESSO simulation package. In order to confirm the accuracy of quantum chemistry computations, we performed simulations by using the following potentials/functionals: the Rappe–Rabe–Kaxiras–Joannopoulos (RRKJ) potential and projector-augmented-wave (PAW) potential, both with an exchange–correlation functional of the PBEsol generalized gradient approximation, the Martins–Troullier (MT) potential with a functional of the Perdew–Wang (PW) local density approximation (LDA) and with hybrid functionals of PBE0 and B3LYP, and the Goedecker–Hartwigsen–Hutter–Teter (GHHT) potential with a hybrid functional of HSE06. The calculations used a kinetic energy cutoff of 816 eV and an $11 \times 11 \times 3$ Monkhorst–Pack $k$-grid. A force convergence criterion of 0.001 eV Å$^{-1}$ was selected for structural optimization. The spin–orbit coupling was shown not to have a distinguishable influence on pure mechanical property calculations in our case (ESI Fig. S1†) and therefore not turned on for all the cases. The monolayer tin was initially constructed using the experimental lattice constant $a = 4.383$ Å (ref. 31) and buckled distance $\delta = 1.2$ Å. A vacuum layer of 30 Å was included to reflect the 2D nature of stanene. Simulations were carried out on a six-atom supercell for PBEsol, LDA, and PBE0 functionals and on a two-atom supercell for B3LYP and HSE06 functionals due to the high computational expense required by hybrid functionals. The comparative analysis presented in Tables S1 and S3† shows that both choices for number of atoms per supercell yield very similar structural and stress behavior, confirming that both systems represent identical 2D lattices within simulation errors.

The material system was first subjected to a variable cell relaxation to obtain a fully relaxed undeformed configuration. The ground state lattice constant after relaxation was 4.547 Å, within 2% of the values obtained by previous studies. The thickness was measured to be 3.26 Å, consistent with the experimental value for free-standing stanene (3.3 Å (ref. 33)). The relaxation gave an average Sn–Sn bond length of 2.74 Å, a buckled distance of 0.79 Å, and a bond angle of 111.91° (Fig. 1 and Table S1†). The strains were imposed by specifying the positions of the atoms within the supercell, followed by relaxing the Sn atoms into their equilibrium positions within the deformed structure, which yielded the minimum total energy.
The stanene was strained uniaxially along the armchair (X) and the zigzag (Y) direction, respectively, or equibiaxially along both directions.

The DFT calculation yielded the 3D Cauchy (true) stress, which was converted to 2D stress with a unit of N m\(^{-1}\) by taking the product of the stress (N m\(^{-2}\)) and thickness of the free-standing stanene. Wei et al.’s continuum formulation\(^{23}\) was followed to describe the material’s elastic response. In order to obtain nonlinear elastic properties from the stress–strain relationships derived from elastic strain energy density function, the 2D Cauchy stress (\(\sigma\)) was related to the second Piola–Kirchhoff (PK2) stress \(\Sigma\) (N m\(^{-1}\)) by the following relation:\(^{23,40}\)

\[
\Sigma = JF^{-1} \sigma (F^{-1})^T,
\]

where \(J\) is the determinant of the deformation gradient tensor \(F\). The elastic properties of the material could be determined from the PK2 stress tensor according to\(^{23}\)

\[
\Sigma_i = C_{ijkl} \eta_j \eta_k + \frac{1}{2!} C_{ijkl} \eta_j \eta_k + \frac{1}{3!} C_{ijkl} \eta_j \eta_k \eta_l + \cdots
\]

where \(\eta\) is the Lagrangian strain and the summation convention for the subscripts runs from 1 to 6 employing the Voigt notation.\(^{41}\)

To examine the vibrational stability of the deformed stanene, we calculated the phonon dispersions for stanene based on density functional perturbation theory (DFPT).\(^{112}\) We used a two-atom supercell (Fig. 1c and d) and a dense \(21 \times 21 \times 1\) \(k\)-grid with a \(5 \times 5 \times 1\) \(q\)-grid to map out possible instabilities. Structural optimization yielded a monolayer structure identical to the six-atom supercell construction (see Table S3† for more information). The PW-LDA pseudopotential was selected for the DFPT calculations, and the same convergence criteria as for the stress–strain calculations were used.

### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1 Stress–strain response and high-order elastic constants

The nonlinear elastic response of stanene in terms of PK2 stress vs. Lagrangian strain is shown in Fig. 2a. Stanene has an isotropic elastic response at strains up to \(\sim 10\%\), evidenced by a coincidence of the stress–strain curves. Compared with armchair tension, stanene is somewhat stronger in the zigzag direction, with a maximum PK2 stress of 3.071 N m\(^{-1}\), or 3.656 N m\(^{-1}\) under true stress. Elongation to UTS for the two loading directions also varies. In the case of zigzag loading, it was found that stanene could sustain approximately 10% more deformation before reaching peak stress than armchair loading. It is also interesting to note that, the biaxial stress in Fig. 2a becomes much higher than the two uniaxial stress responses, whereas the true stress measure in Fig. 2b suggests the opposite. This phenomenon reveals the important role of selecting the reference area when analyzing the nonlinear mechanical response.

Higher-order elastic constants for stanene are extracted based on Wei et al.’s the thermodynamically rigorous continuum formulation for the nonlinear elastic behavior of 2D materials.\(^{21}\) By least-square fitting the DFT data before the plastic region, fifteen elastic constants for the nonlinear continuum description of stanene are determined, as tabulated in Tables 1 and S2.† The closeness in \(C_{11}\) and \(C_{22}\) matches the near coincidence of the stress–strain responses of the two orthogonal loading modes in the linear-elastic region at small strains. Taking the numerical results obtained by the HSE06 functional as an example, the 2D Young’s modulus of stanene is obtained by \(E \approx E_1 = \frac{C_{11}^2 - C_{12}^2}{C_{11}} = 21.842\) N m\(^{-1}\), based on the plane stress condition. If the true stress–true strain curve is instead used to determine \(E\), it is sensitive to the choice of onset point for nonlinearity, which could be ambiguous as illustrated in Fig. S2.†

The comparison of different exchange–correlational functionals in Table 2 reveals that the UTS values of stanene are consistently within a narrow range, confirming the accuracy of
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**Fig. 2** (a) The stress–strain response of stanene. Quantities are plotted in PK2 stress and Lagrangian strain. The lines indicate least-squares fit to the DFT data. (b) The same data converted to true stress and true strain. For the purpose of demonstration, only the results obtained by PBEsol (RRKJ) calculations are shown here.
Table 1
Nonzero second- and higher-order elastic constants (in Voigt notation and unit N m⁻²) tabulated below for the HSE06 functional

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Order</th>
<th>C₁₁₁₁</th>
<th>C₁₁₁₂</th>
<th>C₁₁₂₂</th>
<th>C₁₂₂₂</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>26.64</td>
<td>-186.6</td>
<td>484.5</td>
<td>172.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd</td>
<td>597.2</td>
<td>-2206.2</td>
<td>3804.1</td>
<td>-7560.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th</td>
<td>-173.3</td>
<td>-2206.2</td>
<td>-3804.1</td>
<td>-7188.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5th</td>
<td>-2206.2</td>
<td>-3804.1</td>
<td>-7560.6</td>
<td>-7188.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2
Comparison of UTS and the 2D Young’s modulus (E) of the three loading modes obtained by adopting six different functionals. The complete data set for elastic constants can be found in Table S1 of the ESI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit: N m⁻²</th>
<th>PBEsol (RRKJ)</th>
<th>PBEsol (PAW)</th>
<th>PW-LDA</th>
<th>HSE06</th>
<th>PBE0</th>
<th>B3LYP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UTS</td>
<td>Armchair</td>
<td>3.656</td>
<td>3.718</td>
<td>4.076</td>
<td>2.919</td>
<td>3.821</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3
Comparison of the calculated mechanical properties of stanene, silicene, and graphene

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stress unit: N m⁻¹</th>
<th>Stanene (averaged by 6 functionals)</th>
<th>Silicene</th>
<th>Graphene</th>
<th>Graphene</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Young’s modulus, E (DFT)</td>
<td>26.684</td>
<td>63.8</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>340.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theoretical strength estimate, E/10–E/9 (Griffith and others)</td>
<td>2.668–2.965</td>
<td>6.38–7.09</td>
<td>34.8–38.67</td>
<td>34.08–37.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UTS (DFT)</td>
<td>Armchair</td>
<td>3.635</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>29.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zigzag</td>
<td>4.093</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>31.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E/UTS ratio</td>
<td>Armchair</td>
<td>7.341</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zigzag</td>
<td>6.519</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poisson ratio ν</td>
<td>0.434</td>
<td>0.325</td>
<td>0.169</td>
<td>0.178</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
three of which have an acoustic nature – the flexural acoustic (ZA), transverse acoustic (TA), and longitudinal acoustic (LA) branches. A separate phonon calculation of stanene in an undeformed state suggests that the acoustic branches are separated by a gap of ∼48 cm⁻¹ below the three optical branches (see Fig. S3†). It is evident from Fig. 3a that stanene experienced phonon softening during deformation, and eventually, at a critical true strain (εxx) of 0.205, incurred negative (imaginary) frequencies near the Γ point. Analysis of the dynamical matrix shows that the soft mode at 0.205 armchair strain is related to the ZA mode. This strain corresponds to a position on the stress–strain curve right after the true strain at UTS (0.199), computed by adopting the LDA, suggesting that stanene will maintain vibrational stability at a theoretical strength level likely to surpass the Griffith limit when loaded in the armchair direction.

Under uniaxial tension along the zigzag direction, the onset of the imaginary frequencies is found to be at εyy ≈ 0.210, with phonon soft mode of the ZA type, as shown in Fig. 3b. This is prior to the strain (>0.3) corresponding to the peak stress on a zigzag curve. Hence, the monolayer experiences phonon instability, and the highest mechanical stress along the zigzag direction listed in Table 3 would not be readily achieved for stanene. This left the critical strain and stress to be about the same as that of armchair loading. Therefore, by taking overall consideration of both mechanical and phonon behavior, the final suggested achievable E–σ relation is determined to be σideal = E/7.4 for perfect 2D stanene under both uniaxial tension directions. This implies, from a computational perspective, a possible surpassing of the conventional ideal strength limit, where σideal falls between E/10–E/9 for solids at the continuum level.

3.4 Charge density analysis

The distinction between material responses to different uniaxial tensile strain can be manifested by employing simulated STM with a negative bias voltage, which probes occupied electron regions.44,45 As discussed in section 3.3, in contrast to perfectly flat graphene, the buckled shape of stanene is a direct result of sp²–sp³ hybridization. Fig. 4a shows that the Sn atoms and the associated electron clouds participating in forming the hybrid bond are in alternating top and bottom positions of the stanene basal plane, resulting in an arrangement of the Sn atoms belonging to the D3d point group. The overlapping between electron clouds in buckled stanene is less significant than in graphene possessing a flat geometry attributed to pure sp² hybridization, which explains the drop in stiffness and strength as discussed in section 3.2. The color of the bonding region qualitatively describes the extent of cohesion, and it is clear that upon loading, the electrons accumulated in this region become fewer, indicating a weakening of the bond and a separation of adjacent atoms. Meanwhile, the Sn–Sn bond also experiences a slight rotation and translation, which causes a decrease in the buckling distance of the monolayer stanene, as shown in Fig. 4b.

It is found that the atomic bonds which are parallel or make a small angle to the pulling direction are easier to break (Fig. 4c). For stanene under uniaxial tension, sp²–sp³ bonds that are more aligned to the direction of pulling would break first while other bonds retain their less-aligned positions. In the case of equibiaxial tension, the material quickly flattens out (Fig. 4b) and all the bonds have an equal possibility of breaking, as evidenced by the same electron density around
the hexagonal lattice (see Movie S1† for animations). It is worthwhile to note that the fracture pathways revealed by the simulated STM images and charge density analysis match the onset of the imaginary acoustic mode discussed in section 3.3, which further consolidates our findings on the mechanical behavior of stanene.

4. Conclusion

In summary, we studied the mechanical response of stanene under uniaxial and biaxial loading conditions using first-principles DFT calculations. Specifically, it was found that stanene could sustain up to \( \sim \)20% deformation in its armchair and zigzag directions without losing either elastic or phonon stability. By fitting nonlinear continuum theory to obtained stress-strain curves, we evaluated the complete set of nonlinear elastic constants of stanene up to the fifth order. A Young’s modulus of 26.684 N m\(^{-1}\) was obtained for stanene. Our simulations were conducted with six different exchange–correlation functionals, and the calculated mechanical properties were found to be within a narrow range. This reflects the high chemical accuracy of our results and the relative insensitivity of mechanical response to the choice of the DFT functional. Additionally, we explained through virtual STM and charge density analysis that the reducing stiffness and strength down the Group-IV 2D materials is owing to the increasing sp\(^2\)–sp\(^3\) hybridization within the material structure.

Moreover, our theoretical calculations revealed that the ideal strength of stanene at 0 K is about \( E/7.4 \), higher than the Griffith’s and Cottrell’s estimation of strength. However, the accuracy of strength predicted here could also depend upon any discrepancy in the atomic structure between theory and experiment. Therefore, in situ experiments on stanene are still
needed for confirmation of its mechanical property characterization. As is the case for graphene and MoS$_2$, where DFT-computed strengths have already been achieved experimentally, it is hoped that the breakdown of the conventional strength limit in 2D materials as suggested here could possibly be realized in future.
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